

Synthesis and Spectroscopic Properties of some New Seven-coordinate Thioacetamide Complexes of Molybdenum(II) and Tungsten(II)

PAUL K. BAKER* and STUART G. FRASER

Department of Chemistry, University College of North Wales, Bangor LL57 2UW Gwynedd, U.K.

(Received May 14, 1987)

Abstract

The compounds $[MI_2(CO)_3(NCMe)_2]$ ($M = Mo$ or W) react with one equivalent of $SC(NH_2)Me$ in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature to initially give the acetonitrile substituted products $[MI_2(CO)_3(NCMe)\{SC(NH_2)Me\}]$ which was isolated for $M = W$. However, the molybdenum complex rapidly dimerizes with loss of acetonitrile to give the iodide-bridged compound $[Mo(\mu-I)(CO)_3\{SC(NH_2)Me\}]_2$. The tungsten complex does not appear to dimerize, even after stirring at room temperature for 72 h in CH_2Cl_2 . Two equivalents of thioacetamide react with $[MI_2(CO)_3(NCMe)_2]$ in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature to give the new bithioacetamide compounds $[MI_2(CO)_3\{SC(NH_2)Me\}_2]$ via displacement of the labile acetonitrile ligands. The low temperature ($-70^\circ C$) ^{13}C NMR spectrum of $[Wl_2(CO)_3\{SC(NH_2)Me\}_2]$ indicates that the geometry of the complex is capped octahedral with a carbonyl ligand in the unique capping position.

Introduction

Seven-coordinate complexes have become increasingly important in recent years; in particular the compounds $[MX_2(CO)_3L_2]$ ($M = Mo$ or W ; $X = Cl$ or Br ; $L = PPh_3$ or $AsPh_3$) which have recently been found to be catalysts for the ring-opening polymerization of norbornene and norbornadiene [1, 2]. Although a wide variety of complexes of the type $[M(CO)_n(S_2CNR_2)_2]$ ($M = Mo$ or W ; $n = 2$ or 3 ; $R = Me, Et$ or iPr) containing anionic sulphur donor ligands have been extensively investigated [3–8] very few seven-coordinate complexes containing neutral sulphur donor ligands have been reported.

In this paper we wish to describe the preparation and properties of some new thioacetamide seven-coordinate compounds of molybdenum(II) and tungsten(II).

Experimental

The complexes $[MI_2(CO)_3(NCMe)_2]$ ($M = Mo$ or W) were prepared according to the literature method [9]. All chemicals used were purchased from commercial sources. Dichloromethane was distilled before use. 1H NMR spectra were recorded on a Jeol FX60 NMR spectrometer. The low temperature ($-70^\circ C$) ^{13}C NMR spectrum of $[Wl_2(CO)_3\{SC(NH_2)Me\}_2]$ was recorded on a Bruker WH-400 NMR spectrometer at the University of Warwick. All NMR spectra were calibrated against tetramethylsilane. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 197 infrared spectrophotometer.

Elemental analyses for carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen were recorded on a Carlo Erba Elemental Analyser MOD 1106 (using helium carrier gas). The molecular weights were determined by Rast's method [10] using camphor as the solvent. Magnetic susceptibilities were measured using a Johnson-Matthey magnetic susceptibility balance.

$[Mo(\mu-I)(CO)_3\{SC(NH_2)Me\}]_2$ (1)

To $[MoI_2(CO)_3(NCMe)_2]$ (0.206 g, 0.399 mmol) dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (15 cm^3) with continuous stirring under a stream of dry nitrogen was added $SC(NH_2)Me$ (0.03 g, 0.399 mmol). After stirring the solution for 1 min, filtration, removal of solvent *in vacuo* gave brown crystals of $[Mo(\mu-I)(CO)_3\{SC(NH_2)Me\}]_2$ (yield = 0.12 g, 59%), which were recrystallized from CH_2Cl_2 . *Anal.* Calc. for $C_{10}H_{10}N_2O_6I_4Mo_2S_2$: C, 11.8; H, 1.0; N, 2.8. Found: C, 12.3; H, 1.4; N, 2.8%. Magnetic susceptibility of 1 is -0.217×10^{-6} c.g.s. Molecular weight for 1, $C_{10}H_{10}N_2O_6I_4Mo_2S_2$: calc. 1018; found, 862.

$[Wl_2(CO)_3(NCMe)\{SC(NH_2)Me\}]$ (2)

To $[Wl_2(CO)_3(NCMe)_2]$ (0.233 g, 0.386 mmol) dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (15 cm^3) with continuous stirring under a stream of dry nitrogen was added $SC(NH_2)Me$ (0.029 g, 0.386 mmol). After stirring the solution for 30 s, filtration, removal of solvent *in vacuo* gave yellow crystals of $[Wl_2(CO)_3(NCMe)\{SC(NH_2)Me\}]$ (yield = 0.20 g, 81%), which were

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

recrystallized from CH_2Cl_2 . *Anal. Calc.* for $\text{C}_7\text{H}_8\text{N}_2\text{-O}_3\text{I}_2\text{WS}$: C, 13.2; H, 1.3; N, 4.4. Found: C, 13.6; H, 1.4; N, 4.1%. Magnetic susceptibility of 2 is -0.432×10^{-6} c.g.s. Molecular weight for 2, $\text{C}_7\text{H}_8\text{N}_2\text{O}_3\text{I}_2\text{WS}$: calc. 638; found, 571.

[MoI₂(CO)₃{SC(NH₂)Me}₂] (3)

To $[\text{MoI}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})_2]$ (0.206 g, 0.399 mmol) dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (15 cm^3) with continuous stirring under a stream of dry nitrogen was added $\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}$ (0.06 g, 0.799 mmol). After stirring the solution for 6 min, filtration, removal of solvent *in vacuo* gave orange crystals of $[\text{MoI}_2(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$ (yield = 0.14 g, 60%), which were recrystallized from CH_2Cl_2 . *Anal. Calc.* for $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{10}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3\text{I}_2\text{MoS}_2$: C, 14.4; H, 1.7; N, 4.8. Found: C, 14.2; H, 1.7; N, 4.4%.

[WI₂(CO)₃{SC(NH₂)Me}₂] (4)

To $[\text{WI}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})_2]$ (0.233 g, 0.386 mmol) dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (15 cm^3) with continuous stirring under a stream of dry nitrogen was added $\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}$ (0.058 g, 0.772 mmol). After stirring the solution for 8 min, filtration, removal of solvent *in vacuo* gave orange crystals of $[\text{WI}_2(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$ (yield = 0.16 g, 62%), which were recrystallized from CH_2Cl_2 . *Anal. Calc.* for $\text{C}_7\text{H}_{10}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3\text{I}_2\text{S}_2\text{W}$: C, 12.5; H, 1.5; N, 4.2. Found: C, 12.5; H, 1.5; N, 3.8%.

Results and Discussion

Equimolar quantities of $[\text{MI}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})_2]$ (M = Mo or W) and $\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}$ react in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature to afford the new dinuclear molybdenum complex $[\text{Mo}(\mu\text{-I})(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$ or the mononuclear tungsten compound $[\text{WI}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}]$. $[\text{MI}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})_2]$ reacts with two equivalents of $\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}$ in CH_2Cl_2 at

room temperature to give the new seven-coordinate compounds $[\text{MI}_2(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$. All the complexes have been fully characterized by elemental analysis (C, H and N) (see 'Experimental'), IR and ^1H NMR spectroscopy (Table I). The complexes are moderately stable in the solid state when stored under nitrogen at 0 °C, but decompose rapidly in solution when exposed to air. They are moderately soluble in CHCl_3 and CH_2Cl_2 and very much more soluble in MeOH.

Reaction of one equivalent of $\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}$ with $[\text{MoI}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})_2]$ at room temperature in CH_2Cl_2 must initially afford the monoacetonitrile compound $[\text{MoI}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}]$. This rapidly dimerizes with loss of the weakly bound acetonitrile ligand to give the iodide-bridged product $[\text{Mo}(\mu\text{-I})(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$ which was isolated. Whereas reaction of one equivalent of $\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}$ with $[\text{WI}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})_2]$ gives the monoacetonitrile product $[\text{WI}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}]$, surprisingly, stirring $[\text{WI}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}]$ in CH_2Cl_2 at room temperature for 72 h did not afford the dimeric complex $[\text{W}(\mu\text{-I})(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$. The monoacetonitrile monomer (2) and some decomposition products were isolated. A possible explanation for this behaviour is due to the slightly smaller size of the Mo(II) ion compared to the W(II) ion. Hence $[\text{MoI}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}]$ loses NCMe to release steric strain around the molybdenum centre to give the six-coordinate intermediate $[\text{MoI}_2(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}]$ which then rapidly dimerizes via attack of the iodide lone pairs. Evidence to support this dissociative mechanism comes from some work reported by Tripathi and co-workers [11]. They reacted $[\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_5\text{L}]$ (L = PPh_3 , AsPh_3 or SbPh_3) with X_2 (X = Cl, Br or I) in hexane which resulted in the immediate precipitation of the coordinatively unsaturated '16-electron' compounds $[\text{MoX}_2(\text{CO})_3\text{L}]$. The dimeric nature of the seven-coordinate

TABLE I. Infrared^a and ^1H NMR^b Data for the Complexes $[\text{Mo}(\mu\text{-I})(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$, $[\text{WI}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}]$ and $[\text{MI}_2(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$

Complex	$\nu(\text{CO})$ (cm^{-1})	$\nu(\text{CN})$ (cm^{-1})	$\nu(\text{CS})$ (cm^{-1})	^1H NMR (δ) (ppm) ^b		
				NCMe	Me	NH ₂
1 $[\text{Mo}(\mu\text{-I})(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$	2075(m), 2035(s), 1960(m)		1619(s)			^c
2 $[\text{WI}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}]$	2080(s), 2035(s), 1942(brs)	2320(w), 2298(w)	1617(s)	1.99(s, 3H)	2.77(s, 3H)	^c
3 $[\text{MoI}_2(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$	2020(s), 1958(s), 1930(s)		1613(s)		2.65(s, 3H)	3.60(s, 2H)
4 $[\text{WI}_2(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$	2020(s), 1943(s), 1920(s)		1613(s)		2.70(s, 3H)	3.34(s, 2H)

^aSpectra recorded in CHCl_3 or MeOH; s, strong; m, medium; w, weak. Me₄Si.

^cNo NH₂ resonance was observed for these complexes.

^bSpectra recorded in CDCl_3 (+25 °C) referenced to

complex $[\text{Mo}(\mu\text{-I})(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}]_2$ is confirmed by magnetic susceptibility and molecular weight measurements (see 'Experimental').

Reaction of $[\text{M}\text{I}_2(\text{CO})_3(\text{NCMe})_2]$ with two equivalents of $\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}$ at room temperature in CH_2Cl_2 rapidly gave the expected $[\text{M}\text{I}_2(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$ compounds. In view of the similarity of the carbonyl IR pattern to other analogous seven-coordinate compounds which have been shown to have capped octahedral geometry from X-ray crystallography [12–20], it is highly likely that **3** and **4** have capped octahedral geometry. This is further supported by the low temperature (-70°C) ^{13}C NMR spectrum (CD_3OD) of $[\text{W}\text{I}_2(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$ which shows two carbonyl resonances at $\delta = 206.40$ and 231.96 ppm. Colton and Kevecordes [21] have shown how low temperature ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy can be used to see if there is a carbonyl ligand in the unique capping position by the presence of a low field carbonyl resonance. Hence the low field resonance at $\delta = 231.96$ ppm for **4** is probably due to a carbonyl ligand in the unique capping position, and the resonance at $\delta = 206.40$ is due to two equivalent carbonyls in normal octahedral environments. Many attempts were made to grow crystals of $[\text{M}\text{I}_2(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$ suitable for X-ray crystallography without success.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Dr O. W. Howarth for measuring the low temperature (-70°C) ^{13}C NMR spectrum of the complex $[\text{W}\text{I}_2(\text{CO})_3\{\text{SC}(\text{NH}_2)\text{Me}\}_2]$ at the University of Warwick, and we thank the S.E.R.C. for support.

References

- 1 L. Bencze and A. Kraut-Vass, *J. Mol. Catal.*, **28**, 369 (1985).
- 2 L. Bencze, A. Kraut-Vass and L. Prókai, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 911 (1985).
- 3 R. Colton, G. R. Scollary and I. B. Tomkins, *Aust. J. Chem.*, **21**, 15 (1968).
- 4 G. J.-J. Chen, R. O. Yelton and J. W. McDonald, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **22**, 249 (1977).
- 5 J. L. Templeton and B. C. Ward, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **102**, 6568 (1980).
- 6 J. A. Broomhead and C. G. Young, *Aust. J. Chem.*, **35**, 277 (1982).
- 7 J. L. Templeton, R. S. Herrick, C. A. Rusik, C. E. McKenna, J. W. McDonald and W. E. Newton, *Inorg. Chem.*, **24**, 1383 (1985).
- 8 J. R. Morrow, J. L. Templeton, J. A. Bandy, C. Bannister and C. J. Prout, *Inorg. Chem.*, **25**, 1923 (1986).
- 9 P. K. Baker, S. G. Fraser and E. M. Keys, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **309**, 319 (1986).
- 10 F. G. Mann and B. C. Saunders, 'Practical Organic Chemistry', Longman's Green, London/New York/Toronto, 1954, p. 342–344.
- 11 S. C. Tripathi, S. C. Srivastava and D. P. Pandey, *Transition Met. Chem.*, **2**, 52 (1977).
- 12 A. Mawby and G. E. Pringle, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.*, **34**, 517 (1972).
- 13 M. G. B. Drew, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1329 (1972).
- 14 M. G. B. Drew, A. W. Johans and A. P. Wolters, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 819 (1971).
- 15 M. G. B. Drew, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 626 (1972).
- 16 M. G. B. Drew, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1984 (1975).
- 17 M. G. B. Drew and A. P. Wolters, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, **33**, 205 (1977).
- 18 G. Schmid, R. Boese and E. Welz, *Chem. Ber.*, **108**, 260 (1975).
- 19 R. Boese and U. Müller, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, **32**, 582 (1976).
- 20 M. G. B. Drew and J. D. Wilkins, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 557 (1977).
- 21 R. Colton and J. Kevecordes, *Aust. J. Chem.*, **35**, 895 (1982).